



TOWNSHIP OF BONNECHERE VALLEY
RUBY ROAD WASTE DISPOSAL SITE CAPACITY EXPANSION
ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING PROCESS
PUBLIC CONSULTATION EVENT NO. 2



July 26, 2008 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon Eganville Curling Club

The Township of Bonnechere Valley appreciates your comments and input concerning the Ruby Road Waste Disposal Site Capacity Expansion Environmental Screening Process that is being conducted to determine the feasibility of a capacity expansion at the Ruby Road Waste Disposal Site.

To collect input from the public, the Township has prepared this questionnaire. Please complete and return it to the box provided at the Public Consultation Event. If you are unable to complete the questionnaire before leaving, please mail, fax, or drop off your completed questionnaire at the Township office on or before August 15th, 2008.

QUESTIONNAIRE

Approximately 110 people attended the Ruby Road Waste Disposal Site Capacity Expansion Environmental Screening Process Public Consultation Event No.2. A total of 77 questionnaires were received and used in the following tabulations. Approximately 240 questionnaires were distributed to the attendees at the Public Consultation Event (some attendees took several questionnaires to distribute) and the document was also available on the Township website for those who could not attend.

Please answer the following questions and provide any additional comments you feel are appropriate.

1. Do you reside in the Township of Bonnechere Valley: (**# of respondents = 75**)
[60%] Permanently [40%] Seasonally

2. How many people live in your household? (**# of respondents = 75**) **Average = 2.7**

3. Where do you dispose of your waste? (**# of respondents = 76**)
[74%] Ruby Road Waste Transfer Site [22%] Sand Road Waste Disposal Site
[4%] Lake Clear Waste Disposal Site [1%] McGrath Road Waste Transfer Site
[3%] Highway 41 Waste Transfer Site [11%] Other:
 - Snowdrifters (2)
 - Ottawa
 - Pick up
 - Renfrew County Waste Disposal Site

4. On average, how many garbage bags of waste does your household generate per week? (**# of respondents = 73**)
[62%] Less than one [27%] One [11%] Two [0%] More than two



TOWNSHIP OF BONNECHERE VALLEY
RUBY ROAD WASTE DISPOSAL SITE CAPACITY EXPANSION
ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING PROCESS
PUBLIC CONSULTATION EVENT NO. 2



July 26, 2008 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon Eganville Curling Club

5. Did you or anyone from your household attend the Public Consultation Event No. 1 pertaining to this project on February 20th, 2008? (**# of respondents = 76**)

[39%] Yes [61%] No

If no, why not? (**# of respondents = 46**)

[22%] Scheduling Conflict [35%] Not in the Area [46%] Didn't Know [0%] Felt was Unnecessary

[2%] Preferred to Wait [9%] Other:

- Was out of country
- Health
- Busy with wind turbine meetings
- Seasonal resident

6. How did you hear about this event? (**# of respondents = 73**)

[0%] Barry's Bay This Week [1%] MyFM Renfrew [1%] My FM Pembroke [38%] Eganville Leader

[1%] Renfrew Weekender [27%] Flyer in Mail [55%] Word of Mouth [4%] Poster

[3%] Valley Heritage Radio [3%] Star FM [26%] Other:

- GLPOA meeting (2)
- Email
- Attending previous meeting
- Members of committee
- CPBV (3)
- Ken Mullin (2)
- Cottagers Association (Golden Lake)
- Parents
- Concerned citizens
- GLPOA

7. What is the source(s) of information that you received and/or acquired pertaining to this Environmental Screening project? (**# of respondents = 76**)

[9%] Township Office Materials [26%] Public Consultation Event #1

[12%] Township Flyers and Newsletters [45%] Golden Lake Property Owners Association

[23%] Email Distributions [55%] Word of Mouth

[29%] Media (Newspaper, Radio, TV) [56%] Citizens for the Preservation of Bonnechere Valley

[17%] Township Website [3%] Other:

- Not sure
- Parents



TOWNSHIP OF BONNECHERE VALLEY
RUBY ROAD WASTE DISPOSAL SITE CAPACITY EXPANSION
ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING PROCESS
PUBLIC CONSULTATION EVENT NO. 2



July 26, 2008 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon Eganville Curling Club

- I am confident in the process, but not confident about what will happen later on – i.e. how the dump will be managed, who will end up using it. I think the dump is an old solution.
- Technology from the 1800's should have been improved upon by now, digging a hole and filling it with crap is not the answer!
- This process seems to be mostly administrative and narrow in scope.
- They say it won't leach, they can't prove that it won't after many years, then what? It will be too late the damage will be done.
- The Environmental Screening Process is a flagrant misuse of taxpayers' money as there exists a proven, reliable, economical option at OVWRC.
- The screening appears to be very biased in that results are questionable and appear to suit Council's goal for an expansion to the dump.
- An environmental screening process today cannot predict or safeguard against what a "hole in the ground" waste site will do to Ruby in the future.
- I feel that Council is thinking on a short term basis considering the east now in dollars and not considering what our children and grand-children may encounter in the future
- Accidents happen – then it's too late as many townships have found out. Don't dig another hole in the ground when there are other options.
- Standards and legislation rarely keep pace with technology. Compact fluorescent light bulbs have a lifetime of approximately six to eight years and are sold to nearly every household. Years from now, they will begin to fail in significant numbers introducing an unprecedented amount of mercury to our landfills. The current regulations regarding landfills (and environmental assessments for them) do not take CF-bulbs/mercury into account as the laws pre-date the introduction of these hazardous products. The regulations will eventually change to accommodate new waste like CFC bulbs, LCD displays, etc. Will the township then face waste-disposal or environmental clean-up problems?
- It is an unlined hole in the ground with no leaching recovery backup plan. Even Zig said at the GLCA meeting there are no guarantees it won't leech.
- I believe that putting garbage in the ground is not a good idea and that it is probable to cause problems in the future.
- This dump was closed years ago because it was full and changed to a transfer station. What has changed since?
- It seems the screening process is just a show and that it is more about what the Council thinks. They (the Council) do not seem to be listening.
- How do we really know that is isn't a biased process – done the way the Council would like to see it.
- Phone calls to get information on the townships position convinced me that your position to implement this extensive and environmentally destructive plan is a fait accompli.
- Our concern is about the "health" of Golden Lake over the next 25 yrs. From what we know no one can guarantee 100% that our lake will not be affected
- Anyone who searches the internet in regards to this process will have no confidence. Do your research.
- 100% of landfills leach!
- It doesn't matter what environmental screening goes on, dumps are an environmental hazard. How many dumps in BV have leached? No matter how major or minor the leaching, it causes some environmental damage.
- I truly believe it's a mistake if they go ahead with the expansion.
- I suspect, as usual, the bottom line for the township is money (short term) as opposed to the environmental impacts in the long term.
- The question suggests that the Environmental Screening process will protect the environment. I think the intent (and better wording) is, "...Environmental Screening Process will adequately identify the potential environmental risks associated with expanding the Ruby Site and allow for informed decisions on the use of



TOWNSHIP OF BONNECHERE VALLEY
RUBY ROAD WASTE DISPOSAL SITE CAPACITY EXPANSION
ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING PROCESS
PUBLIC CONSULTATION EVENT NO. 2



July 26, 2008 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon Eganville Curling Club

the Ruby Site as part of the waste management in the Township of Bonnechere Valley". I would agree with this statement.

- Future contamination cannot be known now, but it will occur!
- This exercise is a complete waste of money that should be directed towards joining Ottawa Valley Waste Recovery.
- I don't think that enough information has been provided to me and I am not confident that the Ruby Road waste disposal site will be at all effective. What about the site in Laurention Valley to supply our needs?

15. How can we best provide additional information to keep you informed about the Ruby Road Waste Disposal Site Capacity Expansion Environmental Screening Process? **(number of respondents = 58)**

- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|
| [19%] Open Houses | [44%] Newsletters/Flyers | [40%] Email |
| [35%] Media Releases | [47%] Public Meetings | [27%] Website |
| [8%] Other: | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mail information pro and con with tax bills • Make us more aware of alternatives • Via GLPOA | | |

16. Please provide any additional comments you may have, in the space below *(please feel free to use the back of this sheet if necessary)*.

- I understand the E.S.P. but other options are available to B.V. twp. This E.S.P must stop now before B.V. twp spends any more money on this project. The communication between Council and taxpayers has been tardy to say the least! If this expansion goes through it will be expanded again and again by Council. Whoever they are! Technology is moving along at a tremendous rate and this Council needs to "get with the program".
- My neighbour lives 0.8 of an acre downhill from the dump. There is a spring on his property close to the dump, with water running into a pond near his house, not to mention his well! We live on the furthest part of B.V.T away from Eganville, perhaps it is a case of out of sight out of mind, but not for long. I'm sure in time, you too will be able to smell the aroma of 3,500 peoples garbage on a windy day. We want our garbage to go to L.V.W.R.S.
- If the dump ends up being approved, my fears are that there will eventually be pressures on Council for other townships to use it, eventual ground water contamination and degradation of the area surrounding the dump because no one will want to live "out by the dump." Its location close to cottages, farms and tourist operation is a major negative feature for me. At the information meeting, speakers kept referring to "other options" but except for the OVWR project or incineration (which is not really available yet) I don't know what these alternatives are. My preference at this point is OVWR.
- Disappointed that Bonnechere Valley is considering a "natural attenuation" (i.e. do nothing) approach to waste disposal in the 21st century. BV should be a leader in such matters not simply dig a hole in the ground.
- I would like the township to provide clear unbiased information on all options for waste disposal including OVWRC. Please take this option seriously and demonstrate to the public that you are doing so.
- I did not buy a house in this area to raise kids next to a landfill. I along with many other residents am disappointed with the short-sightedness of this Council. Shame on you for considering this outdated technology as an answer to our waste problem!
- Drop the Ruby Waste Expansion proposal! Now!



TOWNSHIP OF BONNECHERE VALLEY
RUBY ROAD WASTE DISPOSAL SITE CAPACITY EXPANSION
ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING PROCESS
PUBLIC CONSULTATION EVENT NO. 2



July 26, 2008 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon Eganville Curling Club

- I live 1.5 km from the site, there are many springs around my property. Who is to say that water source does come from the Ruby dump after 20-30 years it leaches to these springs you cannot 100% guarantee that lives will not be affected or lost. Get with the times there are better alternatives than losing a life down the road.
- Apparently Ruby Road was selected at random. Why Ruby Road and not Sand Road or Ottawa Valley W.R. Facility? What are the reasons for selected/not selecting these facilities? There should be full disclosure and transparency about the entire process! We should know the \$ cost/benefit (financial) analysis for each sites as well as the environmental cost/benefit for each site, before choosing one! What is the information that lead them to choose Ruby Road in the first place? Ruby Road will eventually leach, and contaminate local wells and even Golden Lake; best idea is to join with the Bonnechere Valley W.F.
- This whole process is a real sham; the total focus of Council and Cambium is to prove and establish a totally outdated process to handle waste at the Ruby Road site, while there is other viable options available and in full operation at this time. Poor communications by Council and our ward 4 Councillor who represents us the tax payers of ward 4. This whole process has created many doubts in our minds and also leaves many questions unanswered. The majority of ward 4 taxpayers are totally opposed to reopening of a new landfill site at Ruby Road location but Council forges on. I congratulate Council on their approach to the septage issue and proves that you are capable of good and reliable and cost effective methods, however your thinking on this landfill site is archaic and digression. Please think outside the box.
- I agree with many of the comments and opinions expressed by the Citizens of BV in opposition of the Ruby Waste Site expansion. In particular, further money should not be spent towards Cambium's services but rather Council should give more attention to other options especially – The Ottawa Valley Site. The "hole in the ground" option is in no way state of the art as mention my Mr. Mintha. This comment as a political leader in our area is very absurd! Of great concern to me, is the effects of the Dump Expansion in the future. Future generations especially my own children, nieces and nephews love to go to Grandpa's farm in Ruby to enjoy clean, country living. Rural areas such as Ruby should be preserved and taken care of so future generations have the opportunity to enjoy it.
- My preference would be transporting garbage to a central location rather than polluting our surrounding lakes i.e. Bonnechere River, Lake Clear, Wilber Lake, Golden Lake, Lake Dore, even if over higher cash.
- I think holding a referendum will be fruitless as the majority of voters will be from wards other than South Algona, and the impact of a dump at Ruby will only impact on South Algona residents. I am thinking that voters in the 3 other wards will probably vote for the cheapest method.
- Stop this foolish idea and expense.
- We continue to oppose this expensive and unnecessary idea. Instead of this forward thinking Council (according to Councillor Peltzer) you are going backwards – no new technology here! The only ones who are laughing all the way to the bank are Cambium and the property owner. We have a councillor who was never elected but appointed by Council, leading the pack, because he never accepted the closure of the Ruby Road site. He's only interested in this one project; to heck with the ward 2 (Gratton) that he's supposed to represent. Now you have a unionized waste site crew since Dec/07 – maybe you should rethink your priorities. The Ruby Road site should not be one of them. Go to OVWRC as soon as possible!
- As long as the test wells are monitored on a regular basis and show no leachate migrating from the proposed (80 acres site?) I see no reason why this proposed expansion should not proceed provided that it is not more costly than the alternatives.
- The proposed landfill is to be placed on the highest point of land in this area. While nearly all the homes and wells on adjacent and nearby properties are downhill from it. This in itself should be enough to raise serious concerns about the safety of the project. Also, there may not be any standing water or streams on the property which the township is considering buying, but rain still falls there and that water will flow downhill – whether it be on the surface or in the ground (ground composed of aggregate which is much poorer at filtering water than soils composed of finer particles.) To date, Council has placed much faith in the advice of consultants – but by the admission of Mr. Desbiens himself at the second PCE, Cambium is a new and relatively –untested company which has completed few if any projects of this type so far (not to mention that



TOWNSHIP OF BONNECHERE VALLEY
RUBY ROAD WASTE DISPOSAL SITE CAPACITY EXPANSION
ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING PROCESS
PUBLIC CONSULTATION EVENT NO. 2



July 26, 2008 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon Eganville Curling Club

Cambium has a vested interest in securing a new dump in TBV as they would pick up the 50,000/year monitoring fees for decades to come). Cambium cannot ride on the reputation and history of SGS because they are not that company. Bearing this in mind, is Council really so confident in this new company that they are willing to put their reputations and our safety on the line? Considering the fallout from the Walkerton incident, one would think that Council would be more receptive to the notion of joining Ottawa Valley Waste Recovery Center – for the simple reason that it keeps the ratepayers safe and puts Council in a comfortable situation with zero liability. If the decided to go with OVWRC and abandoned the new landfill by declaring it “too big of a risk”, Council would be perceived as responsible and disposal would then rest almost entirely on the OVWRC – trained professionals with safe, modern facilities. Doesn’t that sound like an ideal outcome for all involved?

- With all the advancements made in technology recently, I do not feel that digging a hole in the ground for our waste is the correct way of dealing with garbage. We shouldn’t be burying our heads in the sand, let alone our garbage.
- The one thing that we would improve on would be to have small compactors at the transfer station for recyclables because we pay by volume not by weight.
- Cancel the project.
- I am hoping Council has not backed themselves into a corner and feel they must proceed with this dump plan. From what I have read and heard at the meetings this is what I know: 1. Open pit dump – no lining – no leeching recovery system. 2. No guarantee it won’t leach. 3. No guarantee the dump won’t expand. 4. Neighbouring township opened a similar size dump and have closed it to join partnership with Ottawa Valley waste. They said it was the best decision they ever made!! 5. Garbage has become a business and a legal nightmare with the growing focus on environmental safety. Ottawa valley waste has the resources and expertise to do this right. Our township does not. We are applying 1950’s thinking with some window dressing to a problem we have no chance of effectively managing longer. Please please please change the path you are on.
- I feel that Bonnechere Valley twp. should immediately reconsider its position with the environmental study by Cambium and pursue becoming a full member of the Laurentian Valley waste station. As mentioned by Mayor Harold Weckworth of North Algona Wilberforce Twp. If they can afford it so can we.
- Feel there are other less damaging methods out there not yet explored.
- I am extremely concerned about the environmental and socio-economic ramifications of expanding the Ruby Road waste disposal site – my parents own a large tract of property there, forested and even bearing its own freshwater spring. This property is my inheritance and I do not want its value compromised because of a nearby dump. BV Twp. should join the OVWRC and end this foolish idea of expanding Ruby Road’s dump once and for all.
- With the option of Ottawa Valley Waste Recovery as such a good possibility for our township I find it hard to understand why Council would even consider opening a new landfill. It is very foolish I think and seem more like going back in time that ahead. We do not need a landfill in Ruby.
- I’m sure this day and age that solutions in waste management should not include dumping into landfills in rural areas I hope to one day retire in the area, go for walks, enjoy the smell of the country and forever forget the city and all the B.S.
- I think that the option of L.V.W.R.S. should have been completely looked at before the screening process started.
- The Council should be willing and ready to change their mind. At this point they are very obstinate. They are considering this the only real site (Ruby) while other suitable possibilities have not been given the same level of being studied. I think OVWRS is the way to go and in the long run it doesn’t sound to be any more expensive and a lot more good for the environment and our future generations.
- If the issue here is “the cheapest possible avenue”, raise our taxes and let us protect what we have. If other communities can avoid such risky methods of waste disposal, let us follow their wisdom. I believe that you should be sending a copy to every household, even if the occupants do not see these forms. Spend the



TOWNSHIP OF BONNECHERE VALLEY
RUBY ROAD WASTE DISPOSAL SITE CAPACITY EXPANSION
ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING PROCESS
PUBLIC CONSULTATION EVENT NO. 2



July 26, 2008 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon Eganville Curling Club

money for communications sake. Thank you for reading my thoughts. I will thank you even more when you put my thoughts into action and implement a safer, environmentally friendly plan.

- Very concerning to realize that Council's decision does not have the potential impact of the environment as the priority issue in their decision making.
- We were out of the country Dec/07 to end of March/08 – when we returned, it was obvious from all comments of neighbours and CPBV that the manner in which this project was introduced to the public created an environment of “miss-trust” by the public and in our opinion, the feelings of mis-trust continue to be present today!
- Stop wasting the taxpayers' money. A hole in the ground is not the solution to waste disposal even if the land owner is in dire straits. Think. Think. Think.
- Cambium is being paid a large sum to convince us that this is the right choice and for Council, this appears to be their only choice, but it is not the right choice for the residents of the area, the environment or our future generations who will be faced with this for the rest of time. Mayor Mintha, you stated in the Leader it was disheartening when you work so hard and then you are accused of trying to ruin BV. Well, how do you think the previous Council members feel when they worked so hard to close the dump and turn it into a transfer station, don't you think they and others are disheartened to see this beautiful tourist area turned into a dump? This matter needs to be placed on hold until numbers are returned from OVWRC. Plus, the public has the right and needs to see the expenses accrued regarding he “brilliant” idea. This includes the cost of advertising, Cambium's large fee, yearly maintenance and monitoring of the landfill, fencing, trucking, amount paid to the landowner, legal cost, projected leachate cleanup costs and the list goes on. We want to see the actual cost, not the amount the council would like us to see. How about being honest for a change.
- Do not go forward with the expansion.
- Consultants are 10 to 20 years late being called in.
- At the July 26/08 meeting it appeared that the general consensus was to join the Ottawa Valley Recycling (OVR). Joining OVR in my opinion would be a huge mistake. Why would we want to become partners in an organization that is responsible for a 35 year old dump? We all know that 35 years ago everything went into a landfill site. If for example the OVR dump develops a leakage problem (35 years of waste) and OVR states that it will cost X million dollars to clean up, us being partners will be obligated to pay a share. With our own smaller dump we alone have control of what is put into the landfill site. There will be no hazardous dumping or cleanup cost. The dump will consist of a sand and gravel base which would act as a joint filter system and clean and prevent and leakage that may occur. The sand and gravel base would prevent leakage unlike our current Sand Road landfill site where we are filling in existing marshland. For me the only choice is to continue with the Ruby Road landfill site project and produce a landfill site that we alone have control of because the other option of using a 35 year old dump with existing problems that is costing millions today and will cost X millions tomorrow is ridiculous.
- Use state of the art garbage disposal even if is slightly more expensive.
- We love Golden Lake and so do our children. I want their future to be a clean/clear environment.
- I want clear clean water for my children. If not available, Golden Lake is of no value to me or my family.
- Sand and gravel make a very poor filtering system.
- It appears the final stage of this proposal has progressed without adequate consultation with the residents most affected by this project. The environment is the number one issue on the minds of Canadians and rural residents are no different. There has been insufficient information distributed to satisfy the concerns of those affected. I strongly believe all plans should be halted until all residents feel comfortable that all options for safe and alternate methods of waste disposal have been explored and that the final solution is agreed to be safe for current and future residents.
- My concern with the landfill: Garbage bags with unknown contents being buried. Who knows what is in these bags? What becomes of it once it is buried? Out of site out of mind! I think this is a very poor solution. Probably an easy one but not a right one. This is 2008 and 30 years ago it seems that they were using this



TOWNSHIP OF BONNECHERE VALLEY
RUBY ROAD WASTE DISPOSAL SITE CAPACITY EXPANSION
ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING PROCESS
PUBLIC CONSULTATION EVENT NO. 2



July 26, 2008 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon Eganville Curling Club

dump. In that time we should have realized the hazards connected with handling our own garbage without accepting other areas garbage.

- Good luck and thanks for thinking of our environment.
- "The option which appears to be the cheapest in the long run, has the most common sense and is for serving the interest of everyone is joining the" – *Incomplete comment.*
- Please think to the future. Our children and grandchildren are at risk.
- I have experience in waste management and I applaud the Council for taking a proactive approach to this difficult and frequently controversial issue. However, the Council needs to provide the waste management planning basis for the apparent focus on the Ruby Landfill expansion. I attended both the Golden Lake Cottage Owner Association meeting and the meeting on July 26. In both cases, the lack of context for the focus on the Ruby Site and the limited information on the other options was a source of frustration for the public. The participation of the Manager of the OVWRC and the Mayor of North Algona provided helpful input at the second meeting but the information was drawn out by the public questioning rather than presented as a comprehensive package of options and analysis. It appears that on the simplest level there are 3 options; Ruby Landfill, Sand Road Landfill and the OVWRC. There may be other options that could extend the life of these facilities but they are more uncertain. Regarding the OVWRC, it is clear that new costing information will be available in November 2008. Until this information is provided it is not appropriate to speculate on the financial aspects of using the OVWRC. From an environmental and operations perspective it is clear that the OVWRC has a modern facility with some trained and experienced staff. For this Township to duplicate or even come close to duplicating the OVWRC service will be costly and can't be fully evaluated until up to date information is received from OVWRC in the late fall. Regarding the Sand Road Site there is very little information about the Sand Road Site and it has not been the subject of discussion at either the Cottage Owner meeting or the July 26 meeting. Why? The SGS financial analysis not surprisingly recommends the landfill option. However, the tables in the report show Sand Road as the least expensive option in every case. Therefore, why did the Council choose to focus on the Ruby Site? The remaining life of the Sand Road Site is the only information presented at the public meeting apparently in an effort to show the urgent need for a decision. However, the remaining life, 4 years, is based on existing filling rates and does not appear to have accounted for the proactive diversion programs that the Council is implementing. There is no mention of reviewing the landfill operation in an effort to look for opportunities to optimize the operation. Typical areas of landfill operation review are the compaction and use of cover material. Obviously the more the garbage is compacted the less space it takes up in the landfill resulting in longer landfill site life. Some amount of cover material is necessary in a landfill but excessive amounts of cover material takes up valuable space that would otherwise be used for garbage thus reducing the life of the site. Has such an operational review been done? Regarding the Ruby Landfill, the investigations are underway and will not be complete for several months. Until these investigations are complete the possibility of expanding the Ruby Site is unknown. In the waste management industry it is commonly known that the best place to have a landfill is where there is one operating now. Operating landfill sites exist at OVWRC and Sand Road. In the absence of the Township fully exploring these operating sites and in the absence of up to date and complete information necessary to do a cost benefit analysis of these options and with a number of years of capacity left at Sand Road there is little rationale for continuing the Ruby Screening Process. I request that the ESP be suspended until a thorough cost benefit analysis is done and only restarted if the analysis shows that the operating landfills are not viable options. The public must be informed at significant points in the analysis process. If I can be of any assistance I am happy to help depending on my availability.
- I can't even believe you would contemplate putting garbage in a hole in the ground! It may not be a mega dump to cities like Toronto and area but it will be a mega dump to Ward 4 residents. I guess it "mod cans" for one side of the Township only. Shame! – *Could not interpret quotation in comment, not legible.*
- Look to the future for the sake of our children. Our decisions/choices now will impact on them. Council is not trusted and will be replaced if they do not admit an error has been made without procedure and admit we need to explore another option. No to short term options – long term plan.



TOWNSHIP OF BONNECHERE VALLEY
RUBY ROAD WASTE DISPOSAL SITE CAPACITY EXPANSION
ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING PROCESS
PUBLIC CONSULTATION EVENT NO. 2



July 26, 2008 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon Eganville Curling Club

- Please do not expand the Ruby dump. This Township has a very lousy record in managing dumps. Sand Road is a mess. Join Ottawa Valley Waste Recovery. They are trained professionals. They can handle waste safely. In the long run it will be cheaper for rate payers.
- Anybody with any knowledgeable common sense should realize that this 1800's method of digging a hole and throwing your garbage and waste materials in it in a complete unconceivable act that should be immediately curtailed. Let us be thankful for Mayor Harold Weckworth whose knowledgeable insight and responsible action brought his Council to the laudatory solution in joining the waste recovery program. We definitely need more people like him with his responsible insight.
- Impact of property values – Very concerned. Not convinced re: Ruby Road site expansion. Not convinced alternatives have been thoroughly evaluated.
- 1. No dump, 2. Put signage at transfer site, 3. Please no more reading from the overhead (45 minutes) we can all read.

17. Please indicate if you would like correspondence pertaining to the project to be sent directly to you: **(# of respondents = 61)**

[79%] Yes [21%] No

If you answered yes to the above question, what means of communication is preferred:

[47%] Hardcopy (Mail) [53%] Electronic (Email)

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughts.